arXiv:1402.4965v1 [math.MG] 20 Feb 2014

A Note on Lattice Coverings

Fei Xue and Chuanming Zong

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University,
Beijing 100871, P. R. China.
cmzong@math.pku.edu.cn

Abstract. Whenever $n \geq 3$, there is a lattice covering $C + \Lambda$ of E^n by a centrally symmetric convex body C such that C does not contain any parallelohedron P that $P + \Lambda$ is a tiling of E^n.

1. Introduction

Let K denote an n-dimensional convex body and let C denote a centrally symmetric one centered at the origin of E^n. In particular, let P denote an n-dimensional parallelohedron. In other words, there is a suitable lattice Λ such that $P + \Lambda$ is a tiling of E^n.

In 1885, E.S. Fedorov [3] discovered that, in E^2 a parallelohedron is either a parallelogram or a centrally symmetric hexagon (Figure 1); in E^3 a parallelohedron can be and only can be a parallelotope, a hexagonal prism, a rhombic dodecahedron, an elongated octahedron, or a truncated octahedron (Figure 2).

parallelogram centrally symmetric hexagon

Figure 1

Let $\theta^t(K)$ denote the density of the thinnest translative covering of E^n by K and let $\theta^l(K)$ denote the density of the thinnest lattice covering of E^n by K. For convenience, let B^n denote the n-dimensional unit ball and let T^n denote the n-dimensional simplex with unit edges. In 1939, Kerschner [7] proved $\theta^t(B^2) = \theta^l(B^2) = 2\pi/\sqrt{27}$. In 1946 and 1950, L. Fejes Toth [5] and [6] proved that $\theta^t(C) = \theta^l(C) \leq 2\pi/\sqrt{27}$ holds for all two-dimensional centrally symmetric convex domains, where equality is attained precisely for the ellipses. In 1950, Fáry [2] proved that $\theta^l(K) \leq 3/2$ holds for all two-dimensional convex domains and the equality holds if and only if K is a triangle. For more about coverings, we refer to [1], [4] and [8].

If $K + \Lambda$ is a lattice covering of E^2, it can be easily shown that K contains a centrally symmetric hexagon H such that $H + \Lambda$ is a tiling of E^2. Therefore, let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the family of all centrally symmetric hexagons contained in K, we have

$$\theta^l(K) = \min_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{\mathrm{vol}(H)}.$$



Figure 2

This provides a practice method to determine the value of $\theta^l(K)$, in particular when K is a polygon. Then, it is natural to raise the following problem in higher dimensions (see [9]):

Problem 1. *Whenever $K+\Lambda$ is a lattice covering of E^n, $n \geq 3$, is there always a parallelohedron P satisfying both $P \subseteq K$ and $P+\Lambda$ is a tiling of E^n?*

This note presents a counterexample to this problem.

2. A Counterexample to Problem 1

For convenience, we write $\alpha = \cos\frac{\pi}{3}$, $\beta = \sin\frac{\pi}{3}$ and take γ to be a small positive number. We note that $(1,0)$, (α,β), $(-\alpha,\beta)$, $(-1,0)$, $(-\alpha,-\beta)$ and $(\alpha,-\beta)$ are the vertices of a regular hexagon. Let C denote a three-dimensional centrally symmetric convex polytope as shown in Figure 3 with twelve vertices $\mathbf{v}_1 = (1,0,1+\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = (\alpha,\beta,1-\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = (-\alpha,\beta,1+\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_4 = (-1,0,1-\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_5 = (-\alpha,-\beta,1+\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_6 = (\alpha,-\beta,1-\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_7 = (1,0,-1+\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_8 = (\alpha,\beta,-1-\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_9 = (-\alpha,\beta,-1+\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_{10} = (-1,0,-1-\gamma)$, $\mathbf{v}_{11} = (-\alpha,-\beta,-1+\gamma)$ and $\mathbf{v}_{12} = (\alpha,-\beta,-1-\gamma)$, and let Λ to be the lattice with a basis $\mathbf{a}_1 = (1+\alpha,\beta,0)$, $\mathbf{a}_2 = (1+\alpha,-\beta,0)$ and $\mathbf{a}_3 = (0,0,2)$. In fact, C can be obtained from an hexagonal prism of height $2(1+\gamma)$ by cutting off six tetrahedra, all of them are congruent to each others.

It can be easily verified that

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_{6+i} + \mathbf{a}_3$$

holds for all $i = 1,2,\ldots,6$ and $C+\Lambda$ is a lattice covering of E^n. If C contains a parallelohedron P such that $P+\Lambda$ is a tiling of E^3, then P must contain all the



Figure 3

twelve vertices $\mathbf{v}_1$, $\mathbf{v}_2$, ..., $\mathbf{v}_{12}$ of C and therefore $P = C$. However, C is apparently not a parallelohedron. Thus, $C + \Lambda$ is a counterexample to Problem 1 in E^3.

If K is a counterexample to Problem 1 in E^{n-1}, defining K' to be the cylinder over K, one can easily show that K' will be a counterexample to Problem 1 in E^n. Therefore, we have proved the following result by explicit examples:

Theorem 1. *Whenever $n \geq 3$, there is a lattice covering $C + \Lambda$ of E^n by a centrally symmetric convex body C such that C does not contain any parallelohedron P that $P + \Lambda$ is a tiling of E^n.*

Acknowledgements.

This work is supported by 973 Programs 2013CB834201 and 2011CB302401, the National Science Foundation of China (No.11071003), and the Chang Jiang Scholars Program of China.

References

1. P. Brass, W. Moser and J. Pach, *Research Problems in Discrete Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
2. I. Fáry, Sur la densité des réseaux de domaines convexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **178** (1950), 152-161.
3. E.S. Fedorov, Elements of the study of figures, *Zap. Mineral. Imper. S. Petersburgskogo Obšč*, **21**(2) (1885), 1-279.
4. G. Fejes Tóth and W. Kuperberg, Packing and covering with convex sets, *Handbook of Convex Geometry* (P.M. Gruber and J.M. Wills, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam 1993, 799–860.
5. L. Fejes Toth, Eine Bemerkung über die Bedeckung der Eben durch Eibereiche mit Mittelpunkt, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **11** (1946), 93-95.
6. L. Fejes Toth, Some packing and covering theorems, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged* **12** (1950), 62-67.
7. R. Kerschner, The number of circles covering a set, *Amer. J. Math.* **61** (1939), 665-671.
8. J. Pach and P.K. Agarwal, *Combinatorial Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, 1995.
9. C. Zong, Minkowski bisectors, Minkowski cells, and lattice coverings, arXiv:1402.3395.